

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2003 LB 107

SENATOR JENSEN: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would one of these institutions be able to borrow more money through this procedure than they could borrow without it, is that what you're telling me, when the rate might be the same, whether it's for selling these bonds and redeeming them or paying the interest to a traditional lender?

SENATOR JENSEN: No, I don't think they would be able to borrow any more.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, if the amount of money is the same, they would have the same amount of money to do whatever they're going to do in their school, whether it's hiring more professors, reducing class size, building structures or whatever.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. You may continue, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you agree with what I was saying at the end?

SENATOR JENSEN: Yes, with excepting...could I answer...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Sure.

SENATOR JENSEN: ...one? Right now, there's a lot of people that are in the home market. Why are they in the home market? Because interest rates are low and so they can enter the home market and their monthly payments are less. And so we have a lot of people who are buying homes right now. I think that is...relates very well to this situation right now. If we can allow more students to enter a market because of a lower cost in tuition or whatever that might be, I think we're going to have more students become educated.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But, Senator Jensen, this program is not designed to lower tuition rates.

SENATOR JENSEN: It...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: It's not going to lower the cost of tuition.