

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 23, 2003 LB 70

have any questions, I'd be glad to answer them.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Kremer. Are there any senators wishing to address LB 70? Senator Raikes.

SENATOR RAIKES: Question for...thank you, Mr. Speaker and members. Question for Senator Kremer. Senator Kremer, would you again explain exactly what change is being made? I understood that under the current statute, that if there is a lien, that you may, in fact, be liable and not really have information available that there is a lien. How is that problem fixed?

SENATOR KREMER: Okay. The way it's written right now, even though you should not be liable because the lien is not published on the master lien list, or it's not...you've not been notified, that should clear you right there. But the way the law is written now, it says that the...okay, I'll read it here. Except for the waiver or release as...let's see...as provided in subsection (2) of this section...subsection (2) says if you endorse the check, a two-party check, you are then relieved of any liability. It does not mention subsection (1), says...which says that if it's not on the master lien list and you've not been notified, you should be free of any liability. So we included subsection (1), because it did not mention subsection (1). So if there would be a lien out there that was not on the master lien list and that you've not been notified, you should be clear of it. But it, in subsection (3), says the only way you can be clear of it is if it's the endorsement. So there could be a lien out that you don't know about, that you're not responsible to, that you would still be liable to.

SENATOR RAIKES: All right. So...and I understand your change is going to make it so that the purchaser would no longer be liable.

SENATOR KREMER: If he applied the rules of subsection (1), which says that it's not on the master lien list and it's not been notified in writing.

SENATOR RAIKES: So isn't it the case, though, that somebody has