

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2003

think that's where they belonged. I see nothing in Senator Schimek's language that would change my view of where those bills should have been referred, so you're going to have to come up...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...with some straitjacket language, which still is not going to work, because we take a vote on every questioned bill and the majority determines where that bill goes. The introducer, or whoever has an interest, has the prerogative of coming to the Legislature and trying to get a majority of their colleagues to vote to rereference the bill. This is never going to be as clean a process as some people want it to be, especially some people who have had bills referred contrary to where they think those bills should have gone. But I still do not think it would be prudent to change this rule related to the Executive Board in this manner. People are tired. We don't have the kind of discussion that we ought to have, but this is one of those where the majority will rule,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and not wisely if they adopt this amendment. Did you say time, Mr. President?

SENATOR CUDABACK: I did, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: All right. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you. Senator Tyson, on the Schimek amendment.

SENATOR TYSON: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. I'd like to ask a question of Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, would you yield to a question from Senator Tyson?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I certainly will.