

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2003

Committee, and contrary to Senator Maxwell's amendment, which didn't come with the support of the Rules Committee but which had been heard by the Rules Committee and submitted to the Rules Committee, this particular change has not had an examination by the Rules Committee. And as you are members of particular committees who appreciate generally the opportunity to examine something before it appears on the floor of the Legislature, I would hope that you would afford Carol and the Rules Committee the opportunity to look at most of these rules before you approve them on the floor. Secondly, let me say that with regard to mistakes in referencing, if there is someone who feels that a mistake has been made with respect to referencing a particular bill, there is a mechanism in the rules by which you can bring it forward to the floor of the Legislature and request the majority of the body to rereference it. So that can be done. It's not necessary to go changing the whole rules, the whole set of rules, unless there's something systemic which you feel is wrong. Now, apparently there were two bills that gave rise to this proposed rule change this year, and let me talk about them a little bit because I don't think they represent any kind of abuse of power whatsoever. The first bill was a proposed constitutional amendment which was about ethics, in part, but only about ethics in the Legislature; and, secondly, as a part of that bill, it involved legislative salaries. There was an interplay between the Legislature's ethics work and salary. So that was a salary bill. Salary bills have always, I think, come before the Executive Board, but it gives an example of how there's going to be differences in interpretation. Should we have let the salary bill go some place else and then listen to all the other salary bills in Executive Board because it had a second component to it? It seems to make sense to me to put all of the bills dealing with salary, which are fundamentally about salary, in one place, and that would be the Executive Board, and I think the Executive Board correctly referenced that bill, which happened to be...which happened to be my bill. The second bill which was a matter of concern involved allowing the Auditor of Public Accounts to do performance audits. They can't do them now, but it would purport to allow them to do it. Well, right now, the only body that can do performance audits is the Program Evaluation Committee of the Legislature. We do...I happen to be a member