

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2003

rule, I probably supported it. It was back in 1991. And looking back at it, with my years being here, was probably a mistake, because I think before that time, we did debate the issues. And so I wished maybe we could do away with this rule that we put in in 1991. And I oppose my good seatmate here, Senator Maxwell's...both motions that he has. But I think the system has worked with the debate that we've had with the cloture rule. But we also...it worked before that time. And I guess if I had a vote that I could take back, I would have taken that vote back. But you have...I have that experience now that I didn't have before that, so. But I simply oppose the change in our cloture rule, since we have it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hartnett. Senator Maxwell.

SENATOR MAXWELL: Thank you, Mr. President. I didn't count votes ahead of time. So far it's been easy to count. I think I count two so far. Actually, it was a rural colleague as well. And that reminded me, also, Senator Beutler raised the legitimate concern that perhaps a rural senator might have, watching the demographic shift. But it was Senator Jones who crystallized this for me. It was back during the special session that I've been complaining about. And I'm throwing at him Federalist Papers and propositions of government and so forth, and he said, well, the way I look at it is, it takes us 30 votes to override the Governor on issues worth tens of millions of dollars; why does it take us 33 votes to decide to stop talking? And perhaps I should have just said that and sat down when I first opened on this. I'll just respond to a couple other comments and then sit down. I'm actually enjoying hearing what I'm hearing and getting some history of the Legislature as well. I think I'm hearing some different things. We have other options, it won't do any good to make this change, we don't really have a problem. I don't know. To me, it still sounds like denial. We were here in that special session that I still contend was invalid, or at the very least we didn't need to have, and to me, we were all here with no clothes on--my spin on "the emperor has no clothes"--and I haven't heard anything yet to convince me otherwise. The word "frolic" is still ringing in my ears. I knew when Senator Brashear went out of his way to