

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2003

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the body. Let me just briefly explain what I've tried to do with this amendment to the rules proposal that's before us right now. What I've tried to do is tried to maintain a consistency with a theme that I tried to convey this morning of communities of interest. It wasn't that long ago that this Legislature decided how we were going to redistrict our congressional district lines. And through that, there were numerous hearings, numerous input from across the state of Nebraska, and then also considerable floor debate that went on too, to determine what those communities of interest were. And as we also, in this Legislature, have three different caucuses that correspond with our three different congressional districts, I thought it would just make sense that if our congressional districts corresponded with the way that we chose our Committee on Committees in this body, and later on down the road, our Exec Board. And so I propose this amendment to what's before us there that basically shuffles the deck somewhat. It moves some people around, but it more closely resembles our congressional districts than the proposal that's in front of us right now. And so I also included some handouts, too. They're a little bit hard to see, but there's a copy of the U.S. House of Representatives, our congressional districts, that's handed out, and then also a copy of our legislative districts also that went along with that. And what I tried to do, as best as could be done, because there is some overlap, is I tried to place those in legislative districts that had similar communities of interest, again, there's that catch phrase, in the same congressional district that they would be as before. And so with that, I wanted to see if this would generate any discussion. I've been told that we've already tried to do that; that the Rules Committee had considered trying to do it along these lines but that was rejected. But I just wanted to make sure that before we make a substantial change to the rules of this legislative body, that we thought about what we were doing. And my deep feelings are that we've already been down this road before, and that was last year when we considered these different communities of interest, when we considered the principles of one person, one vote and we came up with three separate congressional districts that...and that's what we came up with. And so all I'm asking with this particular amendment is that we follow that in our same