

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office  
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2003

least I didn't hear Senator Mossey say anything about any total objection, then I think the Rules Committee did a very fair and good job in the proposal that they put before us, and that's why I'm going to support what the Rules Committee did and am going to oppose the amendment by Senator Baker. Thank you

**SPEAKER BROMM:** Thank you, Senator Preister. Senator Stuhr.

**SENATOR STUHR:** Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the body. I am rising in support of the amendment. I have to say that Senator Baker and myself were both new members of the committee and, as I think was said before, we had one meeting at 9:00 and then again at 11:00, which did not give us very much time to actually look over the report. I think the amendment is very fair in the fact that it is switching two senators. The 3rd District has always been in the Omaha caucus. Talking about community of interest, I think it fits very nicely with the Omaha caucus. District 34 has previously been in the 1st District, and so this amendment is just proposing the switching of those two districts--District 3 back into the original District 2, which they have always served; and District 34, bringing it back to the District 1, which it previously had been in. I did support the rule change coming out of the committee, but did express my concern that I felt there needed to be an amendment, and was hoping that we could address this change on the floor. I think it is an appropriate amendment and I will be supporting it. Thank you.

**SPEAKER BROMM:** Thank you, Senator Stuhr. Senator Hudkins.

**SENATOR HUDKINS:** Thank you, Mr. President and members of the body. I will be supporting the amendment as well. I was the only member of the Rules Committee who voted no on advancing the proposal out of committee as it was originally written. I went back and, since 1992, to look at the different caucuses. At that time, we had four caucuses on Committee on Committees. At that time, on the Executive Board caucus the 1st District had an extra member, and then Committee on Committees, because you can't divide 49 evenly, the 2nd District had an extra member. And the same in 1995; we still had four caucuses. Same thing: the 1st District had the extra member, and on Committee on