

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 13, 2003

time for, in this case, the Appropriations Committee to analyze the preliminary budget and to have had a hearing. In other words, we've gone through two processes. Our first process is with our analysts. The second process in our shop is with the hearing process. At that time, we present our preliminary recommendation. By allowing us a little more time to know if there's to be statute changes, we can hopefully hone in more carefully on the changes that we see are...as our proposals to be made. The first bullet on the handout, and I've handed out some of the notes that I'm talking about, if you notice 15 years ago, 17 years ago, in the mid-eighties, 75 percent of the General Fund was directly controlled by Appropriations Committee. Today, that is about 45 percent--a significant difference. And so as we look at the many areas that we're going to have to look at and the seriousness of many of the things, we're thinking, and I think it's broadly accepted, there's going to have to be some statutory changes. Those statutory changes will come to the committees, just as they always have, under this proposal. Nothing really changes, except the time frame. So the intent is here that as we do our preliminary report, go through the hearing process and our committee, the Appropriations Committee, sees some things that need to be made and need to be changed statutorily, we would submit those recommendations along with the preliminary report of the numbers, so that you would have an understanding not only of specific areas, say, in health and human services or natural resources, but the whole broad picture of what those proposals would be. At that time, then they would go on to the statutory committee and they would still have every bit of input, the hearing process, whatever, to be done. So it doesn't change that process at all in terms of the statutory committees. The thought was here this is early enough that most...that all committees are still going to be operating. Hopefully, our suggestions, if there are any, would get some priority. Those can be submitted for hearing prior to the end of the other bills being heard that are perhaps less important. That will be a committee Chair's decision. But that's the intent of this, is to (inaudible) to give more flexibility by allowing the Appropriations Committee to submit these a little later in the process. It has...one thing, we actually have this ability to do so now, but it takes 30 votes to change that. This would