

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 11, 2000 LB 652

available funds, trying to lay out, in fairness, for every opportunity. Of those that may say we're going to have an increase in the out year forecasts, remembering that we are following a moderate prediction in increase, this...this could be considered. There could be more available funds there if everything worked perfectly. The Securities Act Cash Fund, which we traditionally do, and the TEEOSA aid, which if we are see...if we do see the 8.5 percent increase in property tax values that was previously mentioned, then we would have state...less state aid potential for us to go to the school aid and that money would be available for coming...for spending. That's \$38 million at this time, is an estimate. But what I really...really wanted to dwell on is the bottom page...part of that page, and these are best guesstimates. I want to emphasize that. They're not rock solid, but it's things that I'm sure we're going to have to be facing. Potentially, university salaries, we have...we have a certain amount plugged into those out years and this represents a potential increase from what we have plugged into the status if we were not able to negotiate state salaries at our plugged in rate; if the university had to give more than the plugged in rate, which both of those in this case are 3 percent; if health insurance goes up 10 percent instead of 5 percent, which we have in our estimate; if the Medicaid public assistance has 2 percent growth more than we're predicting in it. I put in Geneva, Kearney and Wilderness Camp. Those are just possibilities; not saying there will be. Those will take new bills. But I will say, in relation to this bill, LB 652, those were two proposals that were here and the body rejected those or we didn't...at least didn't see them through, but those were some of the answers to what is being proposed here in LB 652 and it would have been under state control entirely. We would have had a gatekeeper for the juvenile services instead of having counties, in essence, free to do what they are doing today. We might have an additional CHARTS penalty. That's...that's an unknown, but that's a possibility. Mental health provider rates, possibly an increase in those of 4.5 percent per year on top of what we did this year. A parole revocation facility and low-level nuc...radioactive waste, continue at this year's level, which is \$4 million per year, and taking over two more county assessors and, by the way, I'm going to have a bill on that next year to see what we can do to slow that down or perhaps even stop it because those are increasingly