

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 11, 2000 LB 1107

money that the state aid formula called for we knew that schools would not have the dollars that they needed to provide the educational opportunities for their students. So the autopilot decision has already been made and, in fact, it is a longstanding decision from my view, and not an inappropriate decision either, by the way. The second argument that the Governor advanced in his letter to us was that the methodology employed in LB 1107 for property tax relief was not the best or most effective method for providing property tax relief. Now, I'm not quite sure what else the Governor has in mind, but let me suggest to you that this fall folks are going to see higher property tax bills for K-12 schools because state aid is going to...is reduced by \$29 million. We reduced state aid by \$20 million...\$29 million. That happened because of valuation increases across the state of Nebraska. Now we can talk about that more later, but the fact of the matter is that state aid to schools went down \$29 million. Do you think schools are going to eat that decrease in state aid? Do you think they're going to just suddenly say, oh, I didn't really need \$29 million in my budget? Or do you think they're going to raise property taxes to make up for the loss in state aid? My guess is that with very, very few exceptions they're going to make up for the reduction in state aid with local property taxes and, in fact, we have heard at least from one school district in the state of Nebraska that wants to have even more property taxes, I guess, than what they're allowed under the current regime. They're threatening to sue us so that they could spend more. The third issue that I've heard about is the fiscal impact to the state of Nebraska. Well, we've had a fiscal impact this year. We've reduced state aid to schools by \$29 million and we're going to increase property taxes by \$29 million. That's a fiscal impact. Now some of you think perhaps that that's the appropriate fiscal impact, that we should actually be acting to increase property taxes or acting in ways that we know will increase property taxes. I'm not sure how you come to that conclusion. I still hear from my constituents that the property tax is the tax that they least like, the tax that they think is the most unfair, and the tax that they continue to think is too high. I'd be surprised if your constituents aren't telling you the same thing. So why...why would we have a fiscal policy that says, in the face of what our constituents are telling us, I would rather raise property taxes; that's the fiscal impact I want to have, I