

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 659

I wish I could remember the name of it, but it's...which one? Bos...Bosselman? You people know. You all been playing the slot machines out there? No, you probably read the same article that I did. The point that I'm making again is this: if you're big enough, you're exempt from law enforcement. You're immune. When they want to pad arrest statistics or make it appear that they're cracking down on gambling, they will pick up some penny-ante booker, bookie. They don't...see, there are police officers who are involved in bookmaking, which probably shocks you all. There are city officials who lay bets with bookies. There are federal law enforcement people who bet on sports activities with bookies, and bookmaking is not legal in Nebraska. So how, on the one hand, are they going to be betting? You know how cops get paid off? The cop lays down a bet with the bookie. If the bet loses, the cop doesn't have to pay. If the bet wins, the bookie pays the cop. The cop never loses a bet, but every bet that wins the bookie pays off. They warn individuals before there is going to be a bust, and they don't get the big bookies. If the only bookmaking that was occurring in Nebraska are these instances where they pick up these runners, maybe at a university, or in some backroom, then bookmaking would not be a problem. But they have very large lucrative bookmaking operations. It doesn't take long to have \$1,000 bet in a bookmaking operation, I mean during one day. Ten bets of \$100 is \$1,000. If you have 100 people who bet \$100, 100 times 100 is 10,000. Money mounts up very fast. If there were people on the borders, and there were in the Drug Enforcement Administration, who were taking bribes because they would be given hundreds of thousands of dollars as a bribe compared to the relatively meager salary by comparison that they were making, it's no surprise that the drug enforcement activity involved a lot of vice and corruption. The same, to a lesser extent, is happening wherever there is gambling. That's why there should be gambling for everybody or gambling for nobody. How is the bishop going to rail against a priest betting in Council Bluffs other than on the basis that when you giving your money over there, sucker, you're not giving it to the church here by playing bingo. We need your money. We don't think gambling is wrong. We think it's wrong for you to gamble with our competitors, and as long as churches have gambling, they can't get me to respect them, not that they want to. They run around here "hypocritin'" and engaging in activities which their