

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1213

\$2.8 million. There's a lot of vetoes that came back today that could comfortably fit into that. Not one, not two, possibly even as many as five vetoes that we could fund. I don't understand this at all. Senator Wehrbein, I don't understand, if the \$2.8 million hadn't been asked for by this late date, I don't understand where this came from or how it suddenly was made available. It...I think it's incredible that we can find something, and it...we can't fund other things. I thought we were out of money, therefore nothing could be funded this year. Two point eight million dollars seems like a good bit of money that's just laying around, not doing anything, that we can't fund our A bills for because we don't have any money. I am not going to support to returning this, and I am not going to support the Wehrbein amendment. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Suttle. Senator Schrock, on the motion to return LB 1213.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members of the Legislature, obviously, if you're not going to fund unification, there's no point in having a unification bill. I do want to answer one of Senator Beutler's concerns. Yes, sparsity is one of the reasons that people are unifying. The particular school district that Senator Kremer and I have, they don't get sparsity money their first year of unification, so they were counting on the unification money to be there the first year. And I don't know what kind of a hardship it's going to work on them, but I'm sure it's not going to make their life any easier to unify and then find out there's no unification money the first year, and there's no sparsity money the first year. And you know, there's...and they also see the Legislature may not keep their commitment to sparsity in the future. So they're kind of scratching their heads down there, wondering what direction we're headed. And just to be brief, I did a little study before session started. The urban districts are receiving more state aid per pupil than the smaller rural districts are. That's because we have more property valuation out there per student than the urban ones do. And this is a way to correct a little of that. So, from that standpoint, I think it's important to do this. I would also remind you that Nuckolls County, where this unification is taking place in my district, in five years their student population is projected to be 20 percent smaller. Where