

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1279

head. And all we're trying to do...there's, like I had mentioned in the bill, there are income guidelines. They can only make a certain amount of money and then they get a 100 percent break on their taxes, if they make a little bit more they get an 80 percent break. And these tax breaks are just designed to keep them in their houses. Where they going to go? If they can't...if they have...if they don't have enough money in their pocket to afford to pay the taxes on a house, where they going to go? This is all this is, is keeps poor people and poor disabled people in their homes. Senator Kristensen also mentioned that, you know, about a significant policy change. Well, this is. What the Wickersham amendment is, is a significant policy change because we enacted this policy last year. We tried to do it in 1998. We ran out of time. Senator Chris Peterson introduced the bill. We did advance it and the Governor signed it last year. The policy in this state is the word "and". And what Senator Kristensen or, excuse me, Senator Wickersham...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time. Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Kristensen, on the Bourne amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President. Members of the Legislature, Senator Bourne, my discussion was where it was, and there were people with \$300,000 homes getting a tax break. We changed that. We have done those things. And the reading that I give, and mine goes more back to Senator Chambers. On the reading, Senator, if you look at subsection (b) there, and I don't know if you've got it right in front of you, but there is two ways to read that. One of them is to say that individuals who have a permanent physical disability or who have lost all mobility, that those...I would grant you those are two, separate and distinct items the way the law is worded. The issue is, do they modify "such as to preclude locomotion"? If you go back to...my understanding of the history of this was that we were going to give people who had physical disabilities, who didn't have locomotion, the money to change their homes so they could live in their homes and stay in their homes. You could make...that...that fits. Now, I'm not telling you that's a plain reading. And you're right, I mean, the courts aren't going to go and look at legislative history. But it could be read that individuals who have a permanent disability, such as