

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1279

people that I think 99.9 percent of Nebraskans think should deserve a homestead exemption for disability. We talk about property tax relief, we're supposed to pound our chest that we...and \$50 million, \$60 million worth of property tax relief this session. Well, we could afford that, but we just don't want to give property tax relief to disabled people, don't want to give them property tax relief. We don't want to expand it for them, we want to target it elsewhere. Well, disabled people vote, folks. They're just as important as everyone else. I think there is a policy discussion that needs to take place, and unfortunately it seems to take place in the final few days of every session, at least for the last two years, this being the second one. I hope next year, being a long session, that we can have a policy discussion earlier in the session about this. I hope that we can get a bill out of the Revenue Committee. And I should introduce one, I should do the work, because assurances that this is going to be studied in a comprehensive manner are not to the same idea and direction of which I and probably others on this floor would go. So anyway, that's...I'm totally supportive of the Bourne amendment. And, if I have any time left, Mr. President, I would yield it to Senator Bourne.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Bourne, two minutes.

SENATOR BOURNE: Oh, thank you, Mr. President. Thank you, Senator Hilgert, I appreciate it. Senator Kristensen talked about that the homestead exemption program was some sort of a tax break or something. As I see the homestead exemption program, it's designed to keep poor people in their homes by giving them a break on their taxes. It's as simple as that. And in this situation, what it is doing is giving disabled poor folks the opportunity to stay in their homes by giving them a break in their taxes. Senator Kristensen talked about, well, we have people living in \$300,000 homes that are getting breaks. Well, it's \$95,000 is what the law is, or 150 percent of the average assessed value of a single family home. Now, you think a \$95,000 house is an expensive house. It is, but what these are generally are people that bought a house back in the forties or the fifties and, due to inflation, the house that they paid ten or fifteen thousand dollars for many, many years ago, is all of a sudden worth a lot of money. That doesn't mean they have cash in their pocket; that just means they got a roof over their