

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 179, 1279

people, then if they make less than \$21,600, then they get 100 percent of tax relief. So, I don't...it's hard for me to believe that it's going to open the floodgates for these people, because there's already guidelines in place that if you make X number of dollars then you get a break, if you make X plus one you get a certain percentage of a break. So, I mean it's not like it's opening the floodgates, that these people are...these disabled folks are going to get these huge tax breaks. Another thing I wanted to mention is LB 179 went into effect on January 1st of this year. I haven't heard anything from Department of Revenue or anybody that the floodgates are opened and thousands of people or hundreds of thousands of people are applying for this. I mean the predictor of doom hasn't really shown to be true. Nobody said that there's hundreds or thousands of new applicants in this program, which went into effect, the language that Senator Wickersham is trying to change went into effect on January 1 of the year 2000. Senator Wickersham indicates that, if we want to change this policy, that it should be a bill. Well, I think that what he's trying to do, by changing this to "and", is a significant policy change which totally reverses what we passed, collectively, in LB 179, last year. So I think that his amendment should be a bill. I just...you know, he talks about the harm that this is going to cause. The bill is already in place with the words "and", it's...or, excuse me, with the words "or who". It's already in place. It went into effect January 1st, and we haven't seen this huge A bill that should be associated with it. I'd urge your adoption of this amendment. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Further debate? Senator Bohlke.

SENATOR BOHLKE: Thank you, Mr. President and members. And thank you all for paying close attention. The reason that...what I'm discussing with Senator Wickersham, the thing that probably upset me the most is a little more to what Senator Chambers was saying, and that's with the Department of Revenue, counter to what was plainly stated, adopting rules and regs in the opposite direction. Now, I...this would have a significant A bill. At this time in the session I'm not sure we can responsibly do that. We do not know that it would be the amount of the bill that I introduced two years ago; I don't think it