

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1361

it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Preister and Senator Bromm. (Visitors introduced.) Open for discussion on the Preister amendment. Senator Engel.

SENATOR ENGEL: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, I'd just like to ask Senator Preister just a quick question. Is this what you refer to as "jake brakes"?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Preister, would you yield?

SENATOR PREISTER: Yes, I will. Yes, Senator Engel, "jake brakes" is the kind of truck term.

SENATOR ENGEL: Yeah.

SENATOR PREISTER: But it's inaccurate in that some communities have put signs up saying, no "jake braking", but the actual term is one that is copyrighted. And so it refers to one specific manufacturer of that type of brake. So that's why we say specifically engine braking, which will also clear up some confusion in those communities that are already putting signs out that say "jake braking". Those signs are subject to litigation.

SENATOR ENGEL: Well, that's the reason I asked the question. Because up where I live, in South Sioux City, they have those signs up now, no "jake brakes" allowed. And so this just clarifies it then. Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR PREISTER: You're welcome.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Engel. Senator Redfield, you're recognized.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members of the body. I will be very brief. I stand in support of this amendment. If you have ever dealt with any kind of a jurisdictional issue, you know how complicated it can be. We have cities, municipalities, that have tried to deal with this issue. When you talk about federal roads and you talk about