

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

repeal all of those laws. But if you're interested in something that's rational, which doesn't affect how we do things but gives the public a greater degree of confidence in us, then I think you should hold on to the amendment. The food and drink, recall, is not affected by this in any way whatsoever, so that's completely outside. It's not being regulated in any way under this particular amendment. So, again, up until this point, I haven't heard of an example of what would be something you would want to do or want to have happen, so I eagerly encourage you to...to put forth on the floor the examples that would be unfairly affected by the amendment that we've adopted. I don't think there are any. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Dierks, on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR DIERKS: Mr. President, I call the question, please.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Do I see five hands? I see five hands. The question is, shall debate cease? Those in favor vote aye, those opposed nay. Question is, shall debate cease? Please record.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 27 ayes, 1 nay to cease debate, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. Senator Smith, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR SMITH: Mr. President, I would at first yield to Senator Landis for a moment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, and I'll make three very quick points. Senator Beutler said that I didn't believe in any of the rules besides disclosure, and I think he leaves out the fact that where there is genuine wrongdoing there should be criminal prosecution. That's the standard for where there's wrongdoing--punishing it and make consequences. I don't believe in disclosure only. I believe in criminalizing genuine influence sale. Prove it and then go to...go to the big house. Secondly, that this would produce confidence, public confidence