

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

If you literally read the rules that might be a violation, especially if your employer happens to be a principal down here at the Legislature. But that was the case before LB 1021 was ever introduced. LB 1021 doesn't create a problem for Senator Engel's situation that wasn't already there, but those kinds of things I think have been looked at in a common sense way and said, look, the purpose and intent of receiving that kind of a benefit through one's employment or one's spouse getting to go along on a trip because of one's employment is...is outside of the political realm and we're not going to consider that to be a violation. I don't think political accountability has ever pursued that kind of thing or felt that it was a violation, but the law has been there that would have allowed that literally to be considered to be a violation and this doesn't change that. All this does, all Senator Beutler's amendment does, is put in some cumulative numbers for the year. Frankly, I don't...I don't have a problem with it but neither do I think that it's going to affect anybody. So I wouldn't want to see us go to war either way on it because it simply isn't worth it, it simply isn't, but I don't think the amendment hurt anything. But I wanted to point out mainly, the reason I originally had my light on, was that we may need...if we can't do it this year, next year we may need to figure out a way to craft some clarification for what I would call the Senator Engel example, which there could be many others like that who will receive something that's unrelated to our involvement...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...as a state senator, completely unrelated, but in the literal sense of the word it could fly in the face of the law and it's hard...that's why it's so hard to craft these things. I...I don't support the reconsideration but I don't think that we should have a bloodbath over it because I don't think it's going to affect that many people either way. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Bromm. On the motion to reconsider, Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, if there are examples that are troublesome to you with regard to