

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

otherwise that, for example, saying thank you is significant of an unpaid debt, and I think that's sinking to a new low in bringing that out, at least in the hearing, and I think that we, as public servants, are in many, many, many cases here for the right reasons. And so I want to adopt what I think is good policy and that is why I offer this reconsider motion, so that we could come up with something that I believe is, if we're going to err, let's err on the side of disclosure because I think disclosure is more practical, more effective, and more productive. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Smith. Senator Bromm, on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR BROMM: Thank you, Lieutenant Governor. I had my light on previously, but since it's on and this is up I'll speak. You know, a lot of what I've heard this morning I think is a little bit of a departure from where we were the other day. I think...I think there is a lot of good points being made, a lot of valid points that we need to think about that we don't really realize we...there are traps out there, some of which have been mentioned, that we need to be aware of. Having said that, I don't think Senator Beutler's amendment that was passed or the last one that he withdrew, the last one that he withdrew was in some ways a significant departure of policy, and I think some of the comments can be used to perhaps educate ourselves if there's future legislation and so forth. I think...I think it had a very meaningful purpose. This amendment, which attempts to put a cumulative effect on...on gifts received during a calendar year, I...I submit to you is probably not going to affect anything that we do. I think what it does, what Senator Beutler's amendment does, is it says, look, if there is...if there is a situation would ever come up where somebody would, like clockwork, give us \$50 per month gifts, let's put some...let's put some reasonable annual limitation on that. I don't think...I don't think that happens and, since I don't think it happens, I'm not offended or worried about the amendment. And I...I guess the thing that...the thing that I think we're going to need to address at some point, I wanted to say this, Senator Engel's example I think is a very good one of a situation that can happen where we might receive something through our employment, our spouse gets the benefit of a trip.