

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

where we're getting money from when...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...we run for office, or where we're getting money from, from lobbyists who...if it's to take us to dinner or to send us to a trip, fair enough. But we've done that. Lastly, I could be wrong but I thought we were asleep at the wheel this last amendment that did exactly this but on a smaller scale, and I may be the only one who thinks that a reconsideration would be in order, but I think it would be in (inaudible) order. I think it would be in order.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Landis. On the Beutler amendment, Senator Wickersham. Is Senator Wickersham on the floor? Senator Wickersham, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Excuse me. Mr. President, I really don't think this amendment is a good idea and I don't think the last amendment was a good idea either. One of the things that is being suggested is that somehow this wouldn't affect a lot of things we see that are normal because it excludes relatives. Well, I have to tell you that we're going to put a lot more pressure on the definition of relative if we're ever going to get around to something that looks like normal. Let me tell you what the definition of "relative", as it now stands in this amendment, does not include and let me...and then let me ask you whether you think it would be normal to receive a gift from those kinds of persons. It doesn't include an uncle, doesn't include an aunt, doesn't include a great-aunt, doesn't include a great uncle, doesn't include a great grandparent. The amendment, as it now stands, does not include in...I'm being...I'm told, despite the speech that I made earlier, does not include in-laws, although I have an amendment to at least bring in in-laws, but it does not include uncles and aunts, doesn't include great uncles and aunts, doesn't include great grandparents, for example. Might you have a devise from one of those persons? No matter how you cut it, that would run afoul, I think, of the rule that Senator Beutler is proposing. It will put significant pressure on us to make sure that everybody we have in the relative category is somebody that we would think is normal for someone to receive a gift from in the amount that