

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

payment; subscription; advance of money; forbearance from, what, a loan or forbearance against a lawsuit; or honorarium; or the rendering or deposit of money, services or anything of value. Now, I have a friend named Ted Kirk. Ted Kirk is a terrific photographer. On occasion, Ted Kirk has taken photographs of my family as a gift. Ted Kirk can sell those services to Duncan Aviation and does so quite regularly for hundreds of dollars for his time. It's entirely possible that the gift he gives my family of photographs he's taken of my family would run afoul of being, number one, and an individual on his part; number two, these are photographs which, if he did it for a commercial entity, might be worth \$1,000; and, number, three, he gave them to me. My wife was given a painting by my son's daughter's mother. She is a professional painter. She is a terrific artist. We have one of her paintings in our house. Not a relative, right, not a relative, but her work sells for more than \$1,000 for a painting and it's in our living room and it is a gift, a gift. By the way, as far as a bonus is concerned, let's go back to this, a gift has present donative intent and no exchange. Those are the elements of a gift. The present desire to give property with no expectation of something in return, that's a gift. That's the legal definition of a gift. And if your bonus doesn't appear on your W-2 but appears on a 1099 for other money that you receive, it's a gift or certainly arguably a gift. In other words, the language is flawed. But past that, because I know Senator Beutler, he's brilliant at redrafting and then involving three new exceptions for the ones we've written and thought of ourselves here as if that would somehow resuscitate this rule, the rule itself is a bad idea. The rule itself is a bad idea because it says that this standard will bring us, I think, public confidence. It will allay public alienation. It will get at wrongdoing. It will get at the perception of wrongdoing. That is the white rabbit's rabbit hole that disappears into Wonderland. It gets at the appearance of wrongdoing; not that there really is wrongdoing, just the appearance of it. That, that goal, can justify any imposition into our lives. It...and you can see it from the way the press handles political coverage these days. That excuse is used for any and every intrusion into a person's life and it's an unfortunate and I think wrong-headed direction to take in this kind of law making. Disclosure is the principle. Create exchanges of information that the public can learn from about