

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

Now, way over here on the other side you have relatives, and there's no limitation on gifts by relatives. And with the Wickersham amendment we've expanded the definition of relative radically, and probably rightly. Okay, so what do you have in between? In between you have gifts from people who are not relatives and who are not lobbyists or principals. And herein is our dilemma, one that is very difficult to resolve. Some people are our friends for all those reasons that we have friends and have always been our friends. And other people, frankly, are our friends because now we're in the Legislature, and they're interested in the results of what we do. It is extremely difficult, admittedly, perhaps impossible to distinguish those two types. But I think in our minds we want to distinguish those two types. I think in our minds that we would want to say, with regard to our friends, that there ought not to be a limitation, our real friends, but with regard to our politic...our new political friends that there maybe should be some kind of limitation because, frankly, you and I know that the category of lobbyist and principal does not include all of those people who seek to influence us for political reasons. A prominent member of a large city chamber of commerce who pays for trips that amount to thousand, several thousand dollars, maybe, who never before in their life has ever given anything to that particular public official before they were a prominent public official, the appearance and probably the fact of an improper influence is...certainly can be drawn by the public. So what I've done here is to prohibit those gifts if they're above \$1,000. Now, somebody would say, God, that's a lot of money. But the problem as you and I know it is that, if we make it \$50, or \$80, or \$100, what about the situation of our friends who give anniversary presents or wedding presents or with whom you have a continuing relationship of exchanging gifts? They're not relatives but they're indeed friends. So, it seemed to me that at least I don't know how to deal with that, so it ought to be allowed, but that at some point in time in the dollar amount scale it would be fair to say that as part of being in public service we agree not to accept gifts in those large amounts. And if you deal only with those large amounts, first of all, you send a message with regard to those large amounts, you do make a rule; but, secondly, you also acknowledge that with regard to all of the smaller amounts that there is a real reason why that should not be curtailed. And I don't know if \$1,000 is the