

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

Beutler, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, let me be sure to describe this to you again so that it's clear to you that this is not asking you to do anything that changes any reality for us. Basically, it says that within one calendar year the aggregate value of gifts shall not be more than \$300 from any one lobbyist. Let me say again, and I've been here as long as anybody but Senator Chambers and Senator Landis, and I concur with what Senator Coordsen and Senator Crosby have described, we have a very clean Legislature. I don't think there's any hedging that needs to be done on that in any regard. My point with this amendment is we are clean; why should we give the appearance, in law, that we are the recipient of gifts, that we exercise prerogatives that in fact we never do? We...we don't accept \$300 in gifts from a lobbyist in a year, I doubt if any of us do. In fact, I would throw the vote open to you on that basis. If you come anywhere close to \$300 from any one lobbyist in a year, don't vote for this. But, if you're like me and I think all of us, you accept these trinkets from time to time and maybe a ticket to this or that once a year, then I would encourage you to vote for this amendment because it cuts down on the bad rap we take. There's no use taking a bad rap for nothing to keep a prerogative open that none of us ever exercise. I think it would give a clearer picture of this Legislature that we're willing to do this. With respect to recordkeeping, let me reiterate how this is structured. This does not require any recordkeeping by any senator or anybody accepting gifts, because it doesn't apply to that section of statutes. It applies only to the section of statutes that says a lobbyist cannot give in excess of this amount. So it would require some recordkeeping by lobbyists. But is that an unfair thing to ask? And, secondly, who are you burdening? I mean, I can't think of more than one or two that even conceivably could be in the neighborhood of this kind of thing and being asked to keep a record. And, if one or two of them are in that category, perhaps they should keep a record. But it certainly isn't burdening the lobbying corps as a whole, certainly not. And finally, again let me remind you that food and drink aren't even...we're not even dealing with food and drink in this amendment. That's completely aside. It's...the definition of gift excludes it for purposes of what we're talking about right