

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 10, 2000 LB 1021

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator...Senator Schimek will substitute an amendment. So I would yield my time to Senator Schimek.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Schimek.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I apologize, it's AM3321.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Mr. President, I would ask if AM3321 could be substituted for AM3277?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, I think that's entirely legitimate, but isn't it under our norms that the introducer remain the same? I want...I'm asking for clarification that Senator Wickersham be substantive...that a substitution be done, but that's because the introducer asks to have something substituted for theirs and they become the introducer of the subsequent substituted amendment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Well, there have been times when we have...when the principal introducer has allowed...I mean we have allowed a substitution by someone other than the principal introducer of the amendment. Obviously, the substitution can only occur if there's unanimous consent, which we would...which we generally would do, if that answers your question. Senator Wickersham.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Mr. President, if I might comment further, the amendment that we're asking a substitution for was a joint amendment with Senator Schimek and I. Senator Schimek actually opened on that amendment the last discussion that we had. It's simply an issue at the Clerk's desk, Senator Landis, which one they said was the primary sponsor. I was quite content, when we opened on the amendment, having Senator Schimek considered to be the primary introducer again. But today my name came up on the board, somewhat to my surprise, so I got up and talked. (Laughter)

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: So the Wickersham amendment is withdrawn. Is there objection to substitution of the Schimek amendment? Hearing none, so ordered. Senator Schimek, you're recognized