

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 5, 2000

LB 1010

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROMM: ...10 days later and say, oh, even though you bid \$100 an acre more than the appraised value, we've decided not to sell it. That is not going to help with the conduct of these sales. A decision on whether to sell the land or not should be made in the bill when the minimum bid is received and when the board gets an appraisal of the land or determines their value. They should then decide whether to put it up for sale and then it goes if they get a bid equal to that appraised value. You don't have a second go round. That will chill the sale and it's a procedural nightmare. So I hope that you do not adopt this amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bromm. Senator Vrtiska followed by Senators Kremer, Jones, Baker and Chambers. Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Baker.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Baker, are you available for a question? He's coming, Senator Vrtiska.

SENATOR VRTISKA: Senator Baker, you suggested that we ask you some questions; and in looking at the sheet, I'd like to ask you a couple of questions if I might.

SENATOR BAKER: Yes.

SENATOR VRTISKA: These figures that you show as being the number of acres obviously is correct, but what about the appraised valuation? How do they write...where do these appraised...is this the assessor's value on the land or where do these values come from?

SENATOR BAKER: No, this is not assessed value. They call it appraised valuation, but what they're using is what they set the rent by, it's called appraised rental valuation, to arrive at the \$501 million. In the State Auditor's report, you'll notice that they dropped it down. When they compared the portfolio value, they used I think \$386 million so these are not market