

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 5, 2000

LB 1010

Legislature. Senator Baker, what I think you meant to say was that Mr. Holsapple doesn't want to do this because they're not in the business of land management. And we're not asking them to do very much other than doing what they're doing right now. They determine what the expected long-term rate of return is going to be. That is their job. They do that, they make a report. That's a figure that you relied on, it's a figure I relied on, and that's what they do. We're not asking them, and if you read the amendment that I have, nowhere does it say that the Investment Council is going to go out and make those determinations. The amendment says that the board, now this is the Educational Lands and Fund Board, shall not accept any final bid for a tract of land that has an expected, well, we changed it with the Bromm amendment, but basically it's the Educational Lands and Fund Board that makes that calculation. There's nowhere that the Investment Council gets involved in the day-to-day transaction of those lands. So I would agree with Mr. Holsapple. I wouldn't want to do that either because that's not what they're...that's not their job. Their job is to determine what the expected long-term rate of return for permanent school funds are. They do that now. We're going to take that and use that as one of the values. The other value is what is the final bid for that land? So it...it's...I understand that you want the bill. I understand that you don't want any amendments. I'm just telling you that from my point of view I think an amendment like this is necessary for good public policy. I don't want them selling the best land first and getting rid of that ground. If that ground can make more than what it could be invested in in the stock market, we ought to keep it as ag land. If that doesn't happen to be the land that you want sold, I'm sorry. But I really think that at this point in time, I mean look at the stock market in the last two days. It's gone wild. Now long term it will be just fine. I understand that. I know that and I'm not a day trader and we're not talking about anybody that will be. But that land does have a good long-term return on it. And if it can earn more than 8.5 percent using their ag land rental rates, then we shouldn't sell it. That's all I'm saying. And if my amendment isn't plain or clear, I'll gladly redraft it, gladly redraft it. We just have a disagreement over the policy. And mine is that I think that that land can produce, some of it. I don't want to sell the good, producing land. I accept the fact that we're