

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

April 5, 2000

LB 1138

Revenue Committee. And to the extent I can understand it or comment about it, to some extent the philosophy there is to try to distribute state monies to whatever government subdivision, based on some recognition of the needs in terms of the services they have to provide, and the resources they have available to provide those. Now in the state aid formula for schools, there is a fairly careful calculation, at least in the view of some, on the needs side, as to what is actually required. Resources are brought in. So that's sort of a complete equalization formula. Some of the things we've worked on in the Revenue Committee dealing with the counties and cities have dealt really with only the resource side, but simply trying to say...to make some statement about the services that need to be provided and what the resources are there, in order to provide them. Now to me, I think there's some appeal to that, that we are a state that it's important that this body, as a maker of state policy, reflects the fact that all people in the state ought to be entitled to some minimum level of those services. Certainly the comments you make about perpetuating structures that may or may not be efficient is a valid one, but probably you could make the same argument in regard to schools. And as I take it, what you were saying there is that, well, school children are more important than other citizens in the state, for example, those who use county services. Now maybe you're not saying that and I don't mean to...I want to allow you to respond to that. ' I gather, you didn't say it but I gather you...if you would contrast the Revenue Committee philosophy with that of the Appropriations Committee, the Appropriations Committee is probably rightly concerned about the commitment of state sales and income tax dollars to subdivisions over and above what can be done on a year-by-year, case-by-case basis. I understand that. On the other hand, the Appropriations Committee was adopting the philosophy that we...well, for example, they endorsed the Governor's recommendation to provide an additional \$30 million of property tax relief to community colleges. I wonder about that philosophy. That sort of property tax relief, in my opinion, is less desirable than one that's directed at particular services provided by particular subdivisions, and I don't really see that there's much equalization involved there, equalization in the sense that you're directing state resources to entities where clearly the service demands are high and the resources available to provide them are low. So I appreciate