

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 4, 2000

LB 1021

been, in this particular section, state-regulated industry.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: And it's defined somewhere, you're telling me?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: It probably is. That's what we're going to look for.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay. If it's defined, then that takes away some of the concern that I have. All I'm doing this for is to make sure that we don't create any traps, that we don't open loopholes that are not there. And that's why I think it's good we're having the kind of discussion we have. But it's good if it can take place in the context of an amendment of some kind.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Schimek, your light is next.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you. Mr. President, members of the body, I know it's probably...this may be the last discussion before lunch. But, Senator Beutler, I'm not quite certain of the impact of your amendment. And originally I was going to say I think it's just a technical amendment, in a way, and I think it's okay, and let's do it. But as I look at it more and more, I'm not certain why we need to say "and accepted" in here, especially since we don't say it when we're referring to transportation or breakfast, lunch, or dinner, et cetera. I mean, maybe there should be consistency there if we're going to do it at all. And it's actually referenced earlier in the statute, when we talk about what a gift is. So I'm not sure we need that "and accepted" in there. Would...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Are you asking...?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Could you respond to that?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Beutler?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator, I think that the problem doesn't have to do, if I'm understanding it correctly, it doesn't have to do with the definition of "gift". Because you're defining a specific...in subsection (4), you are defining something that