

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 4, 2000

LB 1021

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...wouldn't be a gift or any of those things, and try to draw some lines of distinction? That's what I'm asking you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I didn't mean to keep interrupting you. I'm sorry.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all right.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: I think that we should probably visit with the Accountability and Disclosure Commission, because they may already have rules and regs that deal with this.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: And then if they don't, we can do something maybe on Select File.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. Just so people don't have to puzzle, I'm looking at the area of the amendment where Senator Beutler's offering would go. And I see on page 6, in line 5, the term "state-regulated industry". That is peculiar language, because state-regulated industries would include utilities, trucking, taxi, phone companies, and I wrote "et cetera." So we don't want language that could be misunderstood. There should be some discussion of what is intended, and then we should craft language which does differently what is intended from what is offered here, in my opinion. But I see Senator Schimek at her microphone, so can she respond to that?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Schimek, will you respond?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes. Senator Chambers, "state-regulated industry" is currently in statute. And it was really meant to cover such entities as horse racing, maybe the State Fair, those kinds of entities. Now,...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But it would also include the phone compan...the phone industry, wouldn't it?

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Well, it...I don't believe so, but we're looking to make certain about that definition. It's always