

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 4, 2000

LB 1021

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah. And, secondly, they're not going to do that, because it is a violation on their part to give something over \$50.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Who would tell on them, though? If I want to receive it, I'm not going to tell on them. And they're not going to tell on themselves.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Or...and if they try to tell on me, I say, well, the law said I could rely on the statement, and I did. I'm just asking questions to try to see what the practical application would be. And that's all that I would have at this point. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Kristensen, on the Beutler amendment.

SENATOR KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I would agree with Senator Chambers' last point of it could lead to the justification of some arrangement between people, where they would say, well, here's the statement so you're okay, and we'll worry about the back end of it. I think that is valid to look at. The other part I look at is the "nonlobbyist", Senator Beutler, and that's what I want to talk to you about. Do you, in effect, raise the bar and require, by giving that option? I think people can do that now if they want to do that. But if you instit...if you statutorily put that provision in and somebody on their report attaches all those statements, and the next person doesn't attach the statement, haven't you really raised the bar? And there's a specific dollar amount on all these, you're really requiring the next person to do that. And I think that's the point where you don't want to get to that point. What you're after is, where is some safe harbor that they can land at, is there something they can rely on. And my problem is that if you put that in there, that statement of value will become the standard and not an option. And that's my concern on the "nonlobbyist". On the lobbyist part, I think Senator Chambers is right. You open the door for some agreement that we're both going to agree it's \$49.99, and