

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 4, 2000

LB 1021

But if the gift is...if you think the gift is less than \$50, then you don't need to report. But you do need to know that gift was not over \$50, because if the gift is over \$50, you can't accept it.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR BEUTLER: So this is...would be of assistance in making that determination. You don't have to guess.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, suppose you ask somebody...and we're not talking about a car, obviously, or something like that. But they give you a book, and you ask them, is that...would that cost more than \$50, and the person says, no, and maybe it did. Why should you even have to ask the question? And on some of these things of where that borderline amount is involved, why should a person even worry about reporting it? I'm just being frank now.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Sure. Well, I mean, you should worry about reporting it because you don't want to be accepting a gift over \$50. What this...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If we...oh, excuse me.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...what this amendment says is that you can rely upon a statement of value. So even if it were over \$50, you can rely upon a statement of value and don't have to guess as to whether you violated the law.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Now I have to say, like Humphrey Bogart, ah, but the strawberries. If I can rely on their statement, then they can give me something that clearly is worth more than \$50 and say that it's not, and then I'm covered if the language is in the statute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Well, first of all, I think it has to be within the realm of reason, Senator. I mean, there are certain things, obviously...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But it doesn't say so. We can rely on their statement.