

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 4, 2000

LB 914, 925

we should be concerned about interfering with a market that is operating now without subsidies. There's two parts of that that I would take issue with: number one, first, the assumption that the existing market is working well and that interference would bring it down. I don't think the existing marketplace is doing particularly well. It has a great many unmet needs. The market is not sufficient, the supply and the demand is in a mismatch, and the marketplace itself is flawed at this moment. We have waiting lists of at least 5,000 children of the 70 percent of women in this state who work outside the home and the higher than that percentage of men who work out in the home who are looking for day-care slots. They are starting at the point of conception or pregnancy to begin for those slots because that's the advice that they get and that is not the sign of a well-functioning marketplace. Interfering with that marketplace makes perfect sense in my estimation. And, secondly, we already have subsidies. They're in the form of the Federal Income Tax Code, they are in the State Income Tax Code, and they exist for individuals. We have already begun the subsidy as we have in LB 914 where we have a state form of subsidy. So this is not "Adam Smith" in its pristine form that we are interfering with. There certainly are some pieces of work that need to be done. My guess is that Senator Wickersham and I probably will never see eye to eye on this. In fact, I think the conceptual point that he starts from, there just isn't a place, but we could do better technically. My guess is we could probably do better technically on the question of the pass-through and on the question of costs and netting, and those things that are done. But my guess is if you are reluctant on a tax credit to business for starting a day-care center or for funding their employees to go out and put their kids into day-care slots that exist already, if you're reluctant to do that vote against the bill. If that idea has merit to you, I hope you'll do it. Let's go back to the fact that parents are without opportunities, that 6,000 kids are on waiting lists, that there are families that are looking to try to make two incomes work and they have a great deal of day-care problems. I ask you if when you go back and talk to citizens if you don't hear that adequate day care is a recurring theme from your constituents. If it is, the question is, what are you going to do about it? And this bill says see if you can energize the business community through the incentives of the tax credit to do something about it, to add