

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 3, 2000

LB 1217

colleges this year came up. And as you may know, I was not really in favor of that proposal, but you said that the advantage there is that it takes the money off the table so that it can't be spent.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: If...

SENATOR RAIKES: Are you suggesting that the only way we can...we can manage our finances is to...to do projects like that where the money is...I mean, isn't that a little bit like saving your cake by eating it?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: The advantage of one-time expenditures is that it does bring you down in the current biennium...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...so you're not tempted to add in ongoing expenditures, and those ongoing expenditures, of course, are the ones that then show up in the third and fourth year out. If we added another \$30 million of ongoing expenditures to the current biennium, that would translate into another \$60 million in the subsequent biennium, and the negative 49 would be a negative 109.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, thank you, Senator Wickersham. In response to a couple of other points, what I would suggest is that we made our choice. We've talk about mental health needs and juvenile justice needs. We made our choice. We elected to go an additional \$30 million of property tax relief for community colleges. Now we're left to trying to believe that we've underestimated our revenues as the only means for doing some projects that we believe are worthwhile projects. I guess at this point I'm not willing to do that. Senator Beutler suggested that in that case I shouldn't vote for any overrides,...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Time.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...and I will accept his suggestion. Thank you.