

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 3, 2000

LB 1217

case that if we have a plus 7.6 this year and a minus 49 the next year, that actually we can subtract off that 7.6 million from the 49 so that we really only have a 42 million?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: No, because that's already...that already flows through, if you look at the beginning balances at the top of the sheet.

SENATOR RAIKES: So what this sheet is telling you is that even with the plus 7.6 in the current biennium, we'd still end up with a minus 49 in the second biennium, given this particular plan?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That's correct.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Well, let me ask you one other question. You notice at the bottom of the sheet there's \$80 million in the cash reserve down there. Now if we had a minus 50, couldn't we just take another \$50 million out of the cash reserve?

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: That is possible but, obviously, not very good fiscal planning or prudent fiscal planning, because the cash reserve is...is one-time money and by the time you put it in there to sustain ongoing programs you're going to find yourself just wading into deeper water without addressing the problem.

SENATOR RAIKES: So as a matter of fact, we've already, it looks to me, if you look at that sheet, bled the cash reserve down from \$142 million down to \$80 million.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Yes, and if you want me to express a personal opinion I would be much more comfortable with a cash reserve at \$100 million or \$100 million plus.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, well...

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Given the size of our budget.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...I appreciate that opinion. One other thing I will ask you about, you mentioned that, I think, the topic of the 30, \$30 million to, additional \$30 million to community