

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 3, 2000

LB 1217

may have a higher priority than what's in it, we ought to just vote down the package. Don't give them 30 votes. And that's not insulting anybody. It just means that in a more systematic, methodical, analytical way we will look at the Governor's vetoes, and I think that's the way we ought to proceed. So, again, I will vote against the package, although a couple of items in it I intend to vote for when they come up individually.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Beutler.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Kristensen, members of the Legislature, let me just talk a little bit in terms of these five items that are in this package and how they affect the ongoing budget. But three of the five items are not items that are built into the base that cause the ultimate problem in the out years. Sheldon Art Gallery is a construction project that eventually has an end. Centennial Mall is a one-time expenditure, and the Region VI Hospital reimbursement is a one-time expenditure. There are two things that cause the permanent big figure in the...in the out years, if you want to call it that. One is the mental health provider rates, that's the big item. That's \$2 million of what we're talking about, and it's \$2 million this year, and it's \$2 million next year, and it's \$2 million into the base out into the future. So if you're going to reject the committee package and then adopt something related to the provider rates, you're still doing significant damage, if you consider it damage, out in the out years because this is the biggest item that affects the out years in a permanence. This is built into the base. The other item that is built into the base is the University of Nebraska appropriation. But I think that it's clear, from the material that's been presented to us, that what we're doing for them is fair, that is, it is no different than what we're doing for state employees and all others that we have funded. Did they have a lower premium? Yes. But one of the things that the Appropriations Committee said to them was, we are not doing anything until your premium comes up to equal that of everybody else; and they said, okay, we'll do that as rapidly as we can. So they are agreeing to have done that, in return we agreed not to the whole funding that they asked for, but to enough of it to get to next year so we can take a look at what more they might need. They're going to need more anyway. So what is being done