

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 30, 2000 LB 1234

like to say, you know, I support study, yes, subsidization, yes, education, yes. But, frankly, a mandate that precludes the choice or blatantly adversely affects the economic status of those who, by choice or by economic realities, have automobiles that are of the age that cannot use this blend, if that is mandated, once again, it would be still a tough row to hoe. So I hope that during the interim, as we together try to find ways to maximize the use of ethanol, we do not preclude that choice by those individuals who, by choice or by economic status, cannot take advantage of this blend. And maybe I'm spreading some more disinformation about the pros and cons of ethanol, but my district has been very clear in the letters and e-mails that I received. Thank you very much and, again, I encourage the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. I rise to support the Raikes amendment but that's not why I'm standing up. I was reading the Raikes amendment and I noticed that the Exec Board is charged with the responsibility of supporting this committee, which means that there's money involved in it someplace, which means a question of Senator Raikes or Senator Schrock, if I may. May I ask Senator Schrock a question?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Schrock, would you respond?

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Coordsen, I will respond.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes. Are you planning an A bill on LB 1234?

SENATOR SCHROCK: I am not, Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: I think between now and some time today we need to get together, make an estimate of what it's going to cost us. It will be somewhat minimal I suspect but we'll have both the...both the salary and expenses along with whatever equipment and materials that that particular part of the amendment. That doesn't mean I'm in opposition to it, but we need to decide...