

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 2000 LB 1348

SENATOR VRTISKA: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. Like the two speakers before me, I'm not going to make a big fuss over where this goes. I just want to put a little...a little information before you, as some have already done, why this happened the way it did. We had talked long and quite at length about who should be the lead people on this. It was, I think, pretty much generally agreed that DED are the people who understand, who write grants, who understand grants and are able to work with this end of it more easily and so we thought that they should be involved. Because they are agriculture bills, we thought the Department of Agriculture should be the one to follow through on the direction of...because of their understanding of the agricultural, production agriculture they should be the ones who would in fact administer the program. And as the bill stated, as the bill states they're both...they're both involved in the process. And I felt and I think we feel that that is a good compromise to give both agencies an opportunity to work. You have to understand, I think, you have to understand that when you bring two agencies together one or the other has to be the lead agency. We felt the Department of Agriculture, because they're connected so closely with production agriculture, should be the one to be the lead agency, and they would consult continually with the Department of Economic Development to research the...or to examine the grants, determine how they fit into the...they would determine how they fit into the way the programs are structured because they do have that knowledge. And so that was the decision we came up with. I felt at that time it was a good decision, I still think it's a good decision. I don't have any quarrel with where it goes, except that I just wanted to explain to you why we three, some people would say blind mice, but that's not true, we three gathered together and decided that this, in fact, would be the proper way to administer this program and to correctly determine the kind of projects that we were going to be dealing with. So that's why we came up with the decision we did. I'll leave that up to you people. It's not going to make that big a difference. I'm going to vote against the amendment, but again it's your choice. I still think that what we came up with was a good compromise in how we were going to administer these programs and how we're going to deal with them, so I'll leave it up to you. And with that, I'll turn the rest of my time back to the Chair. Thank you.