

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 2000 LB 1348

particularly the entrepreneurial side. I don't want to discount the value of the value-added because that...and that may very well be DED, but the entrepreneurial side of it I believe is properly in the Department of Agriculture. So it may be well a philosophical difference that we have on this issue, and if you feel that way, that's fine. I much prefer not, I'm going to vote no on it. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Before we move to the next speaker, my office has had a number of calls, members, and I just want to give you a general direction of tomorrow, which is the last day of the week. We're going to pick up LB 1234 tomorrow morning, quickly, and then move on to General File committee priority bills, these are the second list of priority bills for the various committees. And then at 12:30 we're going to pick up some of the Speaker priority bills for a couple of hours, and then I'm going to special order a bill from Transportation at 2:30 tomorrow afternoon, but I don't expect that bill to take a long period of time. I just wanted to give you some notice of general direction for tomorrow, since we'd had a number of calls. Senator Connealy, you're the next light.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. We discussed this on where to place the direction for the agricultural program. This is a one-time program, we don't see this as an ongoing economic development program. We thought that we wanted to get the most expertise in this area and we looked at joint administrative, we looked at DED, and we looked at ag, and we came down, through discussions and like, that we thought that the most expertise on this really rural economic development that we hope is a jump-start, a three year program that will be a little bit different, we thought that it ought to fit with agriculture. It could work in DED, but I still think the most expertise in the state government in this area would be in the Department of Agriculture. We want to maintain the DED's consulting on setting up the regulations and their expertise, and the way we wrote it, so that there's cooperation between the two agencies, but we believe that the one that should be the lead is the one with the expertise in this area. So I rise in opposition to the amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Vrtiska.