

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 2000 LB 1234

and it will be self-sustaining and we will have gotten out of that industry what we wanted; cheaper fuels, fuels that weren't captive in their source and a support mechanism, if you will, a use for crops that we grow in Nebraska and other states. Maybe we'll get all those things out of it, but somebody ought to lay out a time line and tell us when we're going to get those things and, in the meantime, I don't think we need to continue trying to bludgeon people into using ethanol. They should do it...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: ...for their own good reasons.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Wickersham. Senator Kristensen, on the Wickersham amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I hear Senator Schrock speak about we might run cloture, we might have an agreement. I want to put a perspective here as to how we should do this from a procedural point of view. If we're going to do cloture now, you're going to be voting, I think, effectively to say that we will do this for each of the next two rounds; otherwise, you've just prolonged and used another eight hours for really no use. If there is an agreement, now is the time to strike it before there is a cloture vote, I think. You ought to try to reach that agreement. I don't know that you'll have 33 votes. You might have 33 votes for the first time, I don't know. But if you do vote, you're going to be binding yourself effectively to say I'm going to do it again on the second round, unless there's some agreement. It's the time of session where I don't know what all the agreements are. I hear what's important is banning of MTBE. I hear nobody disagree with that, so that should be one factor. The second factor is this clean water amendment. I hear no disagreement over that. That should go. The third one I don't know. Everybody wants to help promote, including myself, wants to promote ethanol. The disagreement is how best to do that. I don't know if the opponents of the bill, if there is a two-pump, three-pump agreement, I don't know what that is. I would hope that that could be articulated. Instead of having pockets meet here or meet there, somehow this is going to be one we're going to have to do on the floor where people agree on the floor as to