

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 2000

LB 1234

consumers in danger. I think that we have to be very careful in the wording here, and our wording does not guarantee that what you have asked for, an 87 octane blend, is going to happen at the pump. The amendment at least does one good thing in that it does address no greater than the 10 percent ethanol mixture. I think that we should add that language throughout the bill so that we're not voiding any of our warranties here. All of our recommendations that say it's permissible to use ethanol say no more than 10 percent. The bill does not say that currently. The Connealy amendment does not say that, and I would respectfully ask you to consider that as we put together this language and try to achieve what Senator Schrock is trying to achieve here. The bill does not do that. We also had an issue that came up last spring and, Senator Raikes, if you have a moment to come to your microphone, I'd ask if you would renew with us some of the information that you shared from an annual report on contracts of corn sales that occurred...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR REDFIELD: ...last year when we had pricing issues...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Okay, thank you. Sen...

SENATOR REDFIELD: ...and if you could review with us.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes. Senator Raikes, would you respond to a question from Senator Redfield. Senator Raikes.

SENATOR REDFIELD: He's busy talking.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Raikes, Senator Raikes, Senator Redfield is asking you a question, please would you respond.

SENATOR RAIKES: Yes.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Senator Raikes, last year you shared with us, from an annual report of an ethanol plant, some of the data about contracts of corn, and I wish you would renew or go over that with us again because I don't remember specifically the