

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 2000

LB 1234

greater value based on that than the intrinsic worth of it. But because of all those mystical things, great amounts of gold are dug up from under the ground say in South Africa, then buried in vaults under the ground again in America. Gold is that mystical thing, so take diamonds. The supply is so great that were it not controlled in terms of how many are put on the market, the price of diamonds would plummet. But those who produce diamonds around the world have gotten together and they determine how many diamonds are going to be released, but it still operates on the principle that the scarcer a commodity is the more people are willing to pay to get it. Now when we talk about corn, who benefits from depressing the corn, the Cargills of the world who are going to sell it in other places, and those who produce ethanol. They want to get the raw material as cheaply as possible, and when they are also in a position to manipulate the market price, then they are going to win all the way around. The corn producer is not going to get anything out of this bill. The public is not going to get anything out of this bill. Senator Vrtiska began to...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...touch on what Senator Raikes had fleshed out more fully last night. If you have what you think is a good product, you produce it with the highest quality that you can. You advertise it. You create in the public a desire for that product, but you don't have the government coming and say, you must sell it, Mr. A; you must buy it, Ms. B. That is not going to work. My amendment will mitigate some of the damage being done by this bill.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Some might wonder why they weren't recognized. There were 10 lights on at one time and there still are; Senator Schrock, Beutler, Raikes, Redfield, Bruning, Aguilar, and Chambers. Senator Schrock, you're recognized.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Members of the Legislature, Senator Chambers, I'm going to oppose your bill because that puts us back to square one, where we are today. Senator Landis, I appreciate the way you put things in perspective and it makes a lot of sense. But let me tell you what the problem is. Last year the