

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 29, 2000 LB 1234

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. All right. So we've got the 85. Let's say we go ahead and do that. Then how do I get an 87? Now I...how do I get an 87 nonethanol?

SENATOR CONNEALY: By...an 87 nonethanol? You could...you could...

SENATOR RAIKES: I've got to have some kind of an octane enhancer to get my...if somebody wants an 87 then I've got a problem in trying to raise that 85 up to 87. Is that right?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Well, you can blend it. You can blend it with a higher octane...

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. All right, so...

SENATOR CONNEALY: ...premium that doesn't have alcohol so...

SENATOR RAIKES: ...we'll say I can get around...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR RAIKES: ...that...that problem. Well, let me go on to one other suggestion. Now, could I also just keep doing exactly what I'm doing right now and say that instead of 89 my ethanol is 87 or better? Would that work?

SENATOR CONNEALY: On federal regulations I think it would, but I don't know if it would under...I don't know if it would under state regulations because I think we have an octane...

SENATOR RAIKES: Well, is it somewhat ironic that I could do that, that I could make that work; that really we're talking about the minimum, so that I could meet the law by, in effect, describing ethanol, which I want to sell, as a lower quality product than what it really is?

SENATOR CONNEALY: I don't have the regulation in front of me, but I believe that we need to...that we have state law that gives a range on your octane. It has to be within that range to be labeled correctly,...