

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000 LB 1234

have a discussion. If the 85 does not become available then we're going to remain where we are now with the ethanol blend being 89 octane. Would you agree?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because that's the lowest...that's the lowest blended product. And in those stations selling 89 now, they would not change. They could have unleaded at 87, 89 octane...

SENATOR CONNEALY: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, they couldn't.

SENATOR CONNEALY: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, tell me what...what would happen then.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Under your...under what I believe you to be a false premise then you would not have...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Could you talk to your mike.

SENATOR CONNEALY: ...you would not have the 87 octane gas, because under your premise the lowest octane sold in the state would be 89, but that's not the premise that will happen in the state.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If this 85 octane is not brought in to be enhanced with ethanol up to 87 octane then you could not sell 87 octane unleaded, could you?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Under that...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Because the...

SENATOR CONNEALY: ...under that assumption, yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then we're right...

SENATOR CONNEALY: But that's not...