

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000 LB 1234

SENATOR CONNEALY: ...that could be.

SENATOR HILGERT: Okay, thank you, Senator Connealy, I appreciate it. And I have no further questions. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Hilgert. Senator Baker, on the Baker amendment.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members. I think I'm still trying to educate people here. I must not be getting through. But from what I'm hearing now we can have 87 octane ethanol, which is going to be a blend of 85 octane no-lead and 10 percent ethanol to get our 87 octane, then we can offer 87 octane no-lead, those of us who have three tanks now, and most...most major retailers do, and then we'll have the 91 octane premium. I'm not sure we're getting where we want to be with that. I'm going back to my amendment. I still believe it's to the betterment of the public for those people; there are people who buy gas according to octane, and it has...amount of energy in it. If you'll check your mileage, you buy a tank at 91 octane premium fuel, check your mileage and drive the same route at the same speed with 87 octane, you're going to get better mileage with 91 octane fuel. So I'm not sure that we should allow, with my amendment, the people that are now selling ethanol at 89 octane to continue, rather than to force them to have their lowest grade gas be ethanol. I...I don't see the rationale to that. I think we should allow those people that are currently selling it to continue to sell it at 89 octane. I just...I think that, if you understand the retailer's standpoint here and the consumer, there are people who prefer ethanol, and there was quite a little ethanol sold even when it was higher priced. And I...my office just had a phone call, said that in most cases out in my area ethanol is now cheaper. And just...we have no guarantee it's going to stay that way, but if it's cheaper and you can sell it at 89 octane, why in the world penalize people and force it down to 87 octane and probably pay about the same price as 87 octane no-lead then? I just...I think that the amendment needs to be adopted as a marketing tool, if nothing else. Most people...and I agree with Senator Schrock and I told him I'd state this, it's a marketing problem,