

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000 LB 1234

amendment. We have to go back to the federal mandate dealing with tanks, that was phased in over a long period of time, I think eight to ten years people knew these tank mandates were coming and that it allowed a lot of these stations to budget this and so on, and it allowed some of them to simply phase their business out and close, it forced them to do that. And I do not want to see that happen to these small stations and small volume rural markets here, so I will be supporting Senator Chambers' amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Baker. Senator Beutler, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Chambers, I think I want to support the amendment also. Let me ask you a...a quick question, though.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Sen...

SENATOR BEUTLER: If, on...if, on Select File, it was desired to further refine that amendment so that the two-pump stations that were exempted were those existing as two-pump stations now at this point in time, would you have any problem with that? I mean, I don't know if it's a problem. There's been some discussion of three-pump stations reducing themselves to two-pump stations for purposes of getting under an exemption. I don't really know if that's a problem, but I assume that your objective is to protect those that are two-pump stations right now. Is that...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you respond, Senator Chambers?

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...is that accurate?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right, that is my intent. But, Senator Beutler, I had not even given thought to what you're talking about. So, if the only way you could support the amendment was for me to agree to that, then I cannot agree to that at this time; I hadn't even thought of it. I'll think about it, but I...I just don't have an opinion on it right now.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, thank you.