

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000 LB 1234

you a question or two?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Chambers, will you yield for a question?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR SCHROCK: If we adopted this amendment, would you vote for the bill?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: I can't really say that I would, Senator Schrock; I had not even thought of that. See, I have a philosophical objection to what the bill is doing, so I'm trying to mitigate the harmful effects to others. But it would put me in a position where the type of opposition I would bring against the bill would be considerably lessened.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Senator Chambers, that's of help to me. I...I said from the outset my goal was not to damage the petroleum industry in the state, my goal was to make gasoline cheaper to the consumers. My goal is also to increase the consumption of ethanol. And it's my hope that the petroleum marketers will market the product fairly. Certainly some of them are, but a lot of them are not. And I'm disillusioned and disappointed that 38 percent of the stations in the state do not offer ethanol blended fuels. But you're talking about 7 percent of the stations, I would guess you're talking about less than 7 percent of the quantity. And if this lessens your objection to the bill, and maybe I can get Senator Connealy to help me with this, too, I...I will support your amendment. And so that's what I intend to do now and I would ask the body to consider supporting your amendment.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Schrock. Senator Baker, on the Chambers amendment to the committee amendment.

SENATOR BAKER: Thank you, Senator Cudaback, members. I, too, find myself in a difficult position here. When I have a constituent that calls me and says this bill would put me out of business, I have to have some sympathy for him, even though we do compete with him. And I'm not about to put them out of business through a mandate. So I will support the Chambers