

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000

LB 1234

As Senator Baker mentioned, the majority of the stations that sell ethanol fuels are the big stations. I think that the majority of...of the infrastructure is there. We're going to do with the original bill or with the amended bill, allow an 85 blend come into the state, and hopefully the octane buyers and the price buyers will increase the use of "e-blend" fuels. And so I still see it as a real benefit. I see the stations with the two tanks, when the 85 octane is available to them, will use it, they will adapt to it, and by the time the requirement comes in for them they'll already have been using it.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Connealy. Senator Schrock, on the Bromm amendment.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, Senator Jones, I believe the amendment, and Senator Bromm can confirm this, I believe the amendment is till July 1, 2002, which is two years away. Is that correct, Senator Bromm?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: No.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Is it 2001?

SENATOR BROMM: It's January...January 1 of 2002.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay.

SENATOR BROMM: Yeah.

SENATOR SCHROCK: So, that means we have about a year and nine months, is that correct?

SENATOR BROMM: Correct.

SENATOR SCHROCK: Okay. And for the record, I do support the Bromm amendment. I do think, however, that these two-tank stations, some of them probably are offering the product and some of them can and offer both the unleaded and the unleaded with ethanol. But, be that as it may, I don't want to work a