

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000 LB 1234

with. I still have a little problem with some of these little, bitty mom and pop stations. But you are, I understand, going to give them some time to see what the issue is there. And so, with that, I don't want to bother you. I appreciate your response. And, with that, I'll turn the rest of my time back to the Chair.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Vrtiska. Senator Jones, on the Chambers amendment to the committee amendments.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, I just want to make a few more comments in my area, because it just still concerns me that we've got so many small stations up there, that I think they're going to have to put in another tank, and I think a lot of them will close before they'll do that. And I wanted to check a little bit on Senator Connealy's amendment. Could I ask Senator Connealy a question?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Connealy, would you respond to a question from Senator Jones?

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR JONES: Yes, on your amendment, on Section 2, it says "Each retailer of motor fuels shall offer for sale, at the lowest octane rating sold by the retailer at that location".

SENATOR CONNEALY: Yes.

SENATOR JONES: I read that as the lowest octane has got to have ethanol in, and they cannot have another lowest octane without it in. That's the way I read it.

SENATOR CONNEALY: Well, that's not...no, that's not the way it's intended or the way I read it. The way I read it, it does not preclude say 87 octane with ethanol and 87 octane without ethanol being offered by the same retailer, same location, if you had multiple pumps.

SENATOR JONES: Yes, I understand that. But the way I read it is the lowest octane has got to have it in, and nothing else can be sold the same octane without it in. That's the way I read