

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000

LB 1234

doing. If it was just one of any of the three reasons, I probably wouldn't be supporting it, but it's the combination of the three reasons that is important to me, and the sum of those three reasons on balance tips, I think, my thinking in terms of strongly supporting ethanol. But the one reason is the friendly effect on the environment. It's certainly not the same as taking lead out of gasoline. That had a much more positive, profound effect on the environment, but there is a somewhat positive effect in using ethanol in terms of the environment. And secondly, in terms of using renewable energy and keeping some leverage with the OPEC nations and those who control the price of oil, having an alternative to using oil is important, and developing that alternative to the extent that it becomes economically competitive, and that's what's happening with ethanol, it's coming closer and closer and closer to being economically competitive because we're paying attention to it and promoting it. So that's important. And then finally, the positive effect that it will have on the rural economy and the rural areas of the state. I think one of the principal problems is, and I think that actually Senator Chambers shares this view, too, that one of principal problems with producers, or for producers, and especially grain producers, is that the purchasers of grain have a lot more power in this free economic system, which is not really free in my opinion, but have a lot more power than the producers and, therefore, tend to be able to suppress the profits of the producers any time they appear to getting their head above water. That being the case, it seems to me that one of the remedies to the problems would be to try to develop another market for the product which is truly competitive, and hopefully which will tend over time, if that market in ethanol can be developed, to keep up the price of corn and stabilize the price of corn, and hopefully cut some of those valleys that we see from time to time in the price of corn that are so devastating to the rural economy. So I think everybody should be in favor of the Connealy amendment. If you don't like the broad mandate, certainly his amendment cuts it down to a narrower mandate, and for those who would like to have the broader mandate, at least you get something with the Connealy amendment. So I feel very supportive of it. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Chambers.