

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 28, 2000 LB 1405

give him, no matter what, I feel it does give him credibility, and it does give him prestige. He also has used this in his web site as being a member of the university. I've probably received more letters and calls, e-mails on this issue than I have any other issue that has come before us this year. I don't have the answers. I do, though, in closing, and it is my belief that life is sacred from the beginning to the end and I do not support using the fetal cells from elected aborted tissue for this research, and I'd like to give the remaining time to Senator Brashear.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Brashear, you have approximately a minute, if you choose to use it. Senator Dwite Pedersen.

SENATOR Dw. PEDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature. Senator Chambers brought up some interesting issues and I was listening, Senator Chambers, because I do have some interest in what you were talking about. One of the things, though, that I would ask you when you have a chance to talk again, I'd like you to address, is when they invented or brought about from the fetal tissue the vaccinations for youth, that was when abortion was illegal, and was not that fetal tissue come from spontaneous abortions? I'm not sure. I know that Senator Chambers was talking about the issue of taking the neurons from this aborted tissue and I'd suggest to you that there are other ways, and hopefully the university will, and other places that do this kind of research will take a look at this. This is only for suggestion. Let's say we have an infant, first few days of birth, born with a half a lung, half of one lung. We know the baby is not going to live. Let's say an enlarged heart, enlarged spleen, enlarged liver. Why cannot we set up the same type of donors that we have today for them type of deaths that we know are foregone conclusions and take the neurons from these infants and preserve them the same way we are from whatever they do in the abortions? There is no doubt in my mind that this would have to...that the tissue that they are now getting comes from partial birth abortions, which does horrify me in even having to say it, but when they crush the brain and take that little bit of tissue and they know the other one is, the one I'm suggesting is dying anyway and is at the point of death with a rapid autopsy take that tissue at the same time, I believe it can be done and I believe it should be done.